DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i05.229



PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES

https://thejas.com.pk/index.php/pjhs Volume 3, Issue 5 (October 2022)



Review Article

Epidemiology and Resistance Pattern in Microbial Pneumonia: A Review

Muhammad Naveed Adil¹, Jawad Royaidar², Ramy Rafaat Wadie Yassa³, Ma. Socorro GonzagaLeong-on^{4*}, Faisal Iqbal⁵, Abrar Hussain⁵, Qamreen Ali⁸ and Arsalan Rasheed^{7*}

¹Department of Animal Genomics and Biotechnology (PIASA), Quaid-e-Azam International University Islamabad, Pakistan ²Department of General Medicine, DHQ Teaching Hospital, Dera Ismail Khan, Pakistan

³Department of Medicine, Ain Shmas University Cairo, Egypt

⁴Department of Health Sciences, University of San Agustin, Iloilo City, Philippines

⁵Department of Field size Opices, University of San Agustin, nono City, Philippines

⁵Department of Biological Sciences, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan

⁶Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan

⁷Department of Bio-Chemistry, School of Science and Technology (SST) Elementary and Secondary Education Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Key Words:

Pneumonia, Epidemiology, Pathogenicity, Diagnosis, Treatment, Resistance

How to Cite:

Naveed Adil, M. ., Royaidar, J. ., Wadie Yassa, R. R., GonzagaLeong-on, M. S. ., Iqbal, F. ., Hussain, A. ., Ali, Q. ., & Rasheed, A. . (2022). Epidemiology and Resistance Pattern In Microbial Pneumonia: A Review: Epidemiology and Resistance Pattern in Microbial Pneumonia. Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences, 3(05).

https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i05.229

*Corresponding Author:

Ma. Socorro Gonzaga-Leong-on Department of Health Sciences, University of San Agustin, Iloilo City, Philippines socorroleongon@gmail.com Arsalan Rasheed Department of Bio-Chemistry, School of Science and Technology (SST) Elementary and Secondary Education Department, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan arsalanrrasheed@gmail.com

Received Date: 11th October, 2022 Acceptance Date: 25th October, 2022 Published Date: 31st October, 2022

INTRODUCTION

In general, pneumonia is the presence of a recent lung infiltrate together with indicators that the infiltrate was

ABSTRACT

The pneumonia is a significant public health issue because it raises the mortality and morbidity in people of all ages (2.56 million deaths worldwide each year) and has high medical and financial expenses. The two types of pneumonia i.e. community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and hospitalacquired pneumonia (HAP). The incidences of multi-drug resistance in gram negative bacteria create difficulty in treatment and have negative effect on patients' results. Antimicrobial resistance has also increased with passage of time. The goal of the current study was to describe microbial pneumonia with a focus on the pathogens' etiology, pathogenicity, epidemiology, resistance pathways, diagnosis updates, and vaccine issues in order to address the issue before it has serious consequences. When choosing an antibiotic medication, clinicians face a significant challenge due to the emergence of novel illnesses, the increase in bacteria with multiple medication resistance, and germs that are challenging to cure. It is demonstrated that the effectiveness of first antimicrobial treatment is a critical issue for mortality in pneumonia, it is imperative to manage and effectively guide adequate antibiotic treatment. This requires the knowledge of engagement of the numerous pathogens in etiology of pneumonia. Additionally, until microbiological data are known and prompt de-escalation cannot be conducted; broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy may occasionally be administered. An overview of the epidemiology, resistance trends, microbiological etiology, and microbial diagnostics of pneumonia is given in this review.

caused by an infectious agent including viruses, bacteria,

fungi, parasites or leukocytosis [1]. During bacterial

PJHS VOL. 3 Issue. 5 October 2022

Copyright © 2022. PJHS, Published by Crosslinks International Publishers

pneumonia, one or more lung lobes are brought on by bacteria. CAP and HAP are two categories of pneumonia that can be categorized according to how the infection is acquired. A study explain that CAP is a lung-parenchyma infection which is not contracted from a hospital or other healthcare institution [2]. When pneumonia develops after 48 hours or longer of hospitalization, it is referred to as HAP or Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) if mechanical support is involved [3]. Infections in lower respiratory tract (LRT; including bacterial pneumonia) accounts for about 2-3 million worldwide mortality each year, making them one of the greatest reason of mortality, with South Asia, Sub-Sahara Africa and Southeast Asia having the highest fatality rates [4]. Pathogenic bacteria can spread through the circulation, aspiration, or inhalation to cause bacterial pneumonia [5]. The most prevalent causes of typical pneumonia are S. aureus, K. pneumoniae, H. influenzae, P. aeruginosa, M. catarrhalis, and E. coli, whereas the most frequent causes of atypical pneumonia are L. pneumophila, C. pneumoniae, and M. pneumonia[6]. Despite the fact that A. baumannii, E. coli, K. pneumoniae, and P. aeruginosa are examples of gram-negative bacteria (GNB) frequently associated with HAP, S. pneumoniae still accounts for the majority of CAP cases in all age categories globally [7]. As a result of incorrect use and overuse of antibiotics, Drug resistance is quickly becoming recognized as a severe threat to global health [8]. Multidrug-resistant gramnegative bacteria (MDR-GNB) pneumonia is on the rise nowadays and has a harmful effect on patient health, demonstrating a change in disease patterns with GNB and their fast dissemination, chiefly in hospital environments [9]. The goal of that review is to provide a brief description of bacterial pneumonia with a focus on the epidemiology, pathophysiology, diagnostics, treatment and antibiotic resistance, so that the pertinent bodies may address the problem before it has significant effects.

Epidemiology of HAP

After urinary tract infections, HAP is the second-most typical nosocomial disease. HAP is a common issue in general wards, with a frequency of 5-15 cases/1000 hospital admissions(1.6 to 3.67 cases per 1000 admissions, on average) [10]. HAP can occur in up to 20% of patients who are hospitalized in an intensive care unit (ICU), with 60 to 70% of incidents taking place during mechanical ventilation. The occurrence of HAP in the ICU differs by physical region (Table 1) [11, 12]. According to a significant Italian research conducted in 120 intensive care unit (ICUs) with 32,473 patients, nearly 9.2% of all hospitalized patients in Europe experienced nosocomial infections, with pneumonia (more particularly, VAP) accounting for 47.8% of all ICU-acquired illnesses [13].In a prevalence survey conducted in 264 intensive care unit (ICUs) in

Mexico, the prevalence of ICU-acquired infections was found to be 22.3%, with ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP)accounting for 42.1% of all infections[14].

Year	Country	Population	Study period	Prevalence Rate	Reference
1991	Spain	VAP	April 1987 78 (24%) per to May 1988 322 cases		[15]
1991	Spain	VAP	January 1988 to 58 (21.9%) per November 1989 264 cases		[16]
2009	India	VAP	October 2006 to December 2007	22.94 per 1000 ventilator days	[17]
2014	European countries	VAP	6 months	14.6% in patients of age group 45- 6417.0% in patients of age group 65-7412.8% in patients of age group ≥ 75	[18]
2007	Japan	VAP	July 2002 & June 2004	53.0% (7.3 cases/ 1000 patient/days)	[19]
2014	Spain	Non-ICU HAP	January 2006 and April 2008 January 2006 /1000 hospital admission)		[20]
2005	Spain	Non-ICU HAP	November 1997 to January 1999	3.35 cases/1000 hospital admission	[21]
2007	USA	HAP/VAP	2000 to 2003	0.37 cases/1000 hospital admission	[22]
2011	Iran	HAP/VAP	June 2008 and March 2009	6.9% NP, of which 72% were VAP	[23]

Table 1: Occurrence of HAP

Epidemiology of CAP

The actual frequency of CAP is unknown because it is not a reportable disease. Hospitalization is only necessary for 20 to 50% of CAP patients. A yearly prevalence of CAP in young adults in Europe varies from 1.09 to 1.24 in 1000 person and 1.53 to 1.72in 1000 people, and it rises with age (15 cases/1000 persons in individuals of 65 years' age. Men (in comparison to women) and patients around 65 years old were shown to have a higher chance of developing CAP, according to a research by Torres et al [24]. According to estimates, patients with CAP have a death rate that ranges from 1-5% in outpatient settings, from 5.8-14.1% in regular wards, and from 33-50% in intensive care units (mainly in ventilated patient)[25]. The Pneumonia Patient Outcomes Research Team cohort research included CAP patients and the patients' death rates were 8.9% within 90 days of presentation, 29.1% within one year, and 25.3% within five years[26].

Microbial Etiology of Hospital Acquired Pneumonia (HAP)

The environment around hospitals and a patient's own micro biomes is the main sources of HAP infections. Depending on the patient demographic, the ICU conditions, the state, and the type of presentation, various microbes are the cause of HAP in the ICU (early- or late-onset)[27]. Figure 1 shows that six pathogens (Staphylococcus Aureus, Escherichia Coli, Klebsiella species, Acinetobacter species, Pseudomonas Aeruginosa, and Enterobacter species) are thought to be conscientious intended for around 80% of HAP instances [28].



Figure 1: Microbial Etiology of HAP

Microbial Etiology Of Cap: Studies have shown varying percentages of pathogenic bacteria linked to CAP, and these percentages are likely a result of a variety of local epidemiological variables, patient characteristics(e.g. sex, age), and location (outpatients, hospitalized, or ICU). However, it is commonly acknowledged that S. pneumoniae, which may cause CAP in patients [29]. Up to 37% of CAP in patients receiving outpatient treatment is caused by M. pneumoniae, and 10% of cases needed hospitalization. The L. pneumophila pneumonia causes nearly 2-6% of CAP in the immunosuppressed patients, while C. pneumoniae causes 5 to 15% of CAP are listed in Table 2

Outdoor patients	Hospitalized (Non- Intensive Care Unit Patients)	Intensive Care Unit Patients	
Chlamydia Pneumoniae	Chlamydia pneumoniae	Haemophilus influenzae	
Streptococcus Pneumoniae	Streptococcus pneumoniae	Staphylococcus aureus	
Haemophilus Influenzae	Legionella pneumophila	Streptococcus pneumoniae	
Mycoplasma Pneumoniae	Mycoplasma pneumoniae	Respiratory Viruses	
Respiratory Viruses: Influenza A and B	Haemophilus influenzae	Legionella pneumophila	
	Respiratory Viruses	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	

Table 2: Microbial etiology of CAP

Methods for Determining Pneumonia

Detecting antigens in urine and respiratory specimens, blood cultures, microscopy and culture of respiratory tract specimens and recognition of particular antibodies within blood are still employed often in the regular laboratory examination of patients with pneumonia as shown in Table 3[32].

Diagnosis	Specimen Types		
Bacterial Culture	Blood, sputum, pleural fluid, lung aspirates, bronchoscopic specimens		
Viral Culture	Nasopharyngeal specimens, oropharyngeal specimens sputum, lung aspirates, bronchoscopic specimens		
Mycobacterial Culture	Blood, sputum, pleural fluid, lung aspirates, bronchoscopic specimens, gastric aspirates		
Antibody Detection	Serum		

Antigen Detection	Nasopharyngeal specimens, oropharyngeal specimens, urine, pleural fluid
NAD	Nasopharyngeal specimens, oropharyngeal specimens, sputum, lung aspirates, pleural fluid, bronchoscopic specimens, blood

Table 3: Diagnostic Techniques for Determining the Cause of Pneumonia.

Antibiotic Resistance: In the last two decades, antibiotic resistance has grown globally. Nevertheless, measures like the conjugated pneumococcal vaccination, which protects against the serotypes most probable to show resistance, have prevented a rise in the death rates associated with S. pneumoniae that is resistant to antibiotics [33]. Many medicines including cephalosporin, fluoroquinolone, macrolide and penicillin no longer effective against some pneumococcal strains(Table4)[34]. P. aeruginosa in CAP is uncommon and those who have underlying conditions are more susceptible to be impacted by this pathogen are cystic fibrosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and bronchiectasis. P. aeruginosa is a major contributor to HAP and common reason for VAP [35]. The main risk factors for pseudomonas VAP are extended tracheal intubation and previous antibiotic treatment, particularly with some broad-spectrum antibiotics. P. aeruginosa or Acinetobacter infections were significantly more common in VAP patients who had previously received antimicrobial therapy(65%)compared to those who hadn't(19%)[36].

	Infectious Agents	Drugs Resistance	Major Resistance Mechanisms	Risk Factors Of Resistance
e	Streptococcus	Penicillins	Methylation of the 23S ribosomal objective site, determined via the erm(B) gene	 Age<5 years Attendance to daycare centers
CAP resistance	pneùmoniae	Macrolides	Active efflux, determined via the macrolide efflux or mefA genes	being a resident • of a long-term treatment center Nosocomial • acquisition
0		Fluoroquino- Iones	Alteration in the QRDR of gyrA and/or parC	Older age • Previous use of fluoroquinolones
	Mycoplasma pneumoniae	Macrolides	Mutation in the 23S gene ribosomal RNA	
HAP resistance	Staphylococcus aureus	Methicillin (MRSA) Vancomycin (VRSA) Daptomycin	Gene mecA existence of transposon Tn1526	 Hospital environment (ICU) delayed hospitalization (>5 days)
	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Penicillins Aminoglyco- sides Fluoroquino- lones	access to bacterial targets is restricted incidence of efflux mechanisms	For 3 days in the 90 days' prior Current antibiotic treatment

Table 4: Community-Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) resistance

CONCLUSIONS

A crucial challenge for the most clinically effective treatment of pneumonia is the introduction of novel pathogens and microbiological identification of pathogens causing pneumonia. However, recent studies have proven the need of using novel molecular platforms because

PJHS VOL. 3 Issue. 5 October 2022

despite the effort made to collect samples in pneumonia patients, nearly 50% of the cases remain without microbiological diagnosis using conventional approaches. Conventional methods and molecular testing, in our opinion, will enhance the microbiological diagnosis of pneumonia, leading to improved clinical management, including faster start-up of antibiotic treatment, more successful de-escalation, better focused antibiotic selection, and better stewardship for pneumonia patients.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Source of Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Erb CT, Patel B, Orr JE, Bice T, Richards JB, Metersky ML, et al. Management of Adults with Hospital-acquired and Ventilator-associated Pneumonia. Annals of the American Thoracic Society. 2016 Dec; 13(12):2258-2260. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201608-641CME.
- [2] Temesgen D, Bereded F, Derbie A, Biadglegne F. Bacteriology of community acquired pneumonia in adult patients at Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. Antimicrobial resistance and infection control. 2019 Jun; 8:101. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0560-0.
- [3] Kalanuria AA, Mirski M, Ziai W. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in the ICU. Annual Update in Intensive Care and Emergency Medicine 2014; 65-77.
- [4] Assefa M. Multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacterial pneumonia: etiology, risk factors, and drug resistance patterns. Pneumonia (Nathan). 2022 May; 14(1):4. doi: 10.1186/s41479-022-00096-z.
- [5] Estes RJ and Meduri GU. The pathogenesis of ventilator-associated pneumonia: I. Mechanisms of bacterial transcolonization and airway inoculation. Intensive care medicine. 1995 Apr; 21(4):365-83. doi: 10.1007/BF01705418.
- [6] File TM Jr. Streptococcus pneumoniae and community-acquired pneumonia: a cause for concern. American journal of medicine studies. 2004 Aug; 117 3A (3):39S-50S. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed. 2004.07.007.
- [7] Chetty K and Thomson AH. Management of community-acquired pneumonia in children. Paediatric Drugs. 2007;9(6):401-11. doi: 10.2165/00148581-200709060-00007.
- [8] Prestinaci F, Pezzotti P, Pantosti A. Antimicrobial resistance: a global multifaceted phenomenon.

CC

Journal of global health. 2015; 109(7):309-18. doi: 10.1179/2047773215Y.000000030.

- [9] Exner M, Bhattacharya S, Christiansen B, Gebel J, Goroncy-Bermes P, Hartemann P, et al. Antibiotic resistance: What is so special about multidrugresistant Gram-negative bacteria? GMS hygiene and infection control. 2017;12.
- [10] Chawla R. Epidemiology, etiology, and diagnosis of hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilatorassociated pneumonia in Asian countries. American journal of infection control. 2008 May; 36(4): S93-100. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2007.05.011.
- [11] Baker D, Quinn B. Hospital Acquired Pneumonia Prevention Initiative-2: Incidence of nonventilator hospital-acquired pneumonia in the United States. American Journal of Infection Control. 2018 Jan;46(1):2-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2017.08.036
- [12] Hutchins K, Karras G, Erwin J, Sullivan KL. Ventilatorassociated pneumonia and oral care: a successful quality improvement project. American Journal of Infection Control. 2009 Sep; 37(7):590-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2008.12.007.
- [13] Malacarne P, Boccalatte D, Acquarolo A, Agostini F, Anghileri A, Giardino M, et al. Epidemiology of nosocomial infection in 125 Italian intensive care units. Minerva anestesiologica. 2010 Jan; 76(1):13.
- [14] Torres A and Cillóniz C. Epidemiology, etiology, and risk factors of bacterial pneumonia. In Clinical Management of Bacterial Pneumonia 2015;(7-28).
- [15] Torres A, Aznar R, Gatell JM, Jiménez P, González J, Ferrer A, et al. Incidence, risk, and prognosis factors of nosocomial pneumonia in mechanically ventilated patients. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine. 1990 Sep;142(3):523-8. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm/142.3.523.
- [16] Rello J, Quintana E, Ausina V, Castella J, Luquin M, Net A, et al. Incidence, etiology, and outcome of nosocomial pneumonia in mechanically ventilated patients. Chest. 1991 Aug; 100(2):439-44. doi: 10.1378/chest.100.2.439.
- [17] Joseph NM, Sistla S, Dutta TK, Badhe AS, Rasitha D, Parija SC. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in a tertiary care hospital in India: role of multi-drug resistant pathogens. Journal of Infection in Developing Countries (JIDC). 2010 May; 4(4):218-25. doi:10.3855/jidc.634.
- [18] Blot S, Koulenti D, Dimopoulos G, Martin C, Komnos A, Krueger WA, et al. EU-VAP Study Investigators. Prevalence, risk factors, and mortality for ventilatorassociated pneumonia in middle-aged, old, and very old critically ill patients*. Critical Care Medicine. 2014 Mar; 42(3):601-9. doi: 10.1097/01.ccm.0000435665.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i05.229

07446.50.

- [19] Suka M, Yoshida K, Uno H, Takezawa J. Incidence and outcomes of ventilator-associated pneumonia in Japanese intensive care units: The Japanese nosocomial infection surveillance system. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 2007 Mar; 28(3):307-13. doi: 10.1086/511997.
- [20] Sopena N, Heras E, Casas I, Bechini J, Guasch I, Pedro-Botet ML, et al. Risk factors for hospitalacquired pneumonia outside the intensive care unit: a case-control study. American Journal of Infection Control. 2014 Jan; 42(1):38-42. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic. 2013.06.021.
- [21] Barreiro-López B, Tricas JM, Mauri E, Quintana S, Garau J. Risk factors and prognoses of nosocomial pneumonia in patients not admitted to intensive care units. Infectious diseases and clinical microbiology. 2005 Sep; 23(9):519-24.
- [22] Weber DJ, Rutala WA, Sickbert-Bennett EE, Samsa GP, Brown V, Niederman MS. Microbiology of ventilator-associated pneumonia compared with that of hospital-acquired pneumonia. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2007 Jul; 28(7):825-31. doi: 10.1086/518460.
- [23] Diouf E, Beye MD, Kane O, Seydi AA, Ndiaye PI, Bah MD, et al. Nosocomial ventilator-associated pneumonia in a tropical intensive care unit. Dakar medical. 2006 Jan; 51(2):81-8.
- [24] Chang DC, Anderson S, Wannemuehler K, Engelthaler DM, Erhart L, Sunenshine RH, et al. Testing for coccidioidomycosis among patients with community-acquired pneumonia. Emerging infectious diseases. 2008 Jul; 14(7):1053-9. doi: 10.3201/eid1407.070832.
- [25] Craven DE, Barber TW, Steger KA, Montecalvo MA. Nosocomial pneumonia in the 1990s: update of epidemiology and risk factors. InSeminars in respiratory infections 1990 Sep 1(Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 157-172).
- [26] Johnstone J, Eurich DT, Majumdar SR, Jin Y, Marrie TJ. Long-term morbidity and mortality after hospitalization with community-acquired pneumonia: a population-based cohort study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2008 Nov;87(6):329-334. doi: 10.1097/MD.0b013e318190f444.
- [27] Suaya JA, Fletcher MA, Georgalis L, Arguedas AG, McLaughlin JM, Ferreira G, et al. Identification of Streptococcus pneumoniae in hospital-acquired pneumonia in adults. Infection Prevention in Practice (IPIP). 2021 Feb; 108:146-157. doi: 10.1016/j.jhin. 2020.09.036.
- [28] Jones RN. Microbial etiologies of hospital-acquired

bacterial pneumonia and ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia. Archives of clinical infectious diseases. 2010 Aug; 51 Suppl 1: S81-7. doi: 10.1086/653053.

- [29] Tran HD, Bach Nguyen YT, Thanh Tran T, Thu Le TT, Thu Nguyen HT, Minh Nguyen C, et al. Communityacquired pneumonia-causing bacteria and antibiotic resistance rate among Vietnamese patients: A cross-sectional study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022 Sep; 101(36): e30458. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000 0000030458.
- [30] Apisarnthanarak A and Mundy LM. Etiology of community-acquired pneumonia. Clinics in Chest Medicine. 2005 Mar;26(1):47-55. doi: 10.1016/j.ccm.2004.10.016.
- [31] Johnstone J, Majumdar SR, Fox JD, Marrie TJ. Viral infection in adults hospitalized with communityacquired pneumonia: prevalence, pathogens, and presentation. Chest. 2008 Dec;134(6):1141-1148. doi: 10.1378/chest.08-0888.
- [32] Murdoch DR, O'Brien KL, Driscoll AJ, Karron RA, Bhat N; Pneumonia Methods Working Group; PERCH Core Team. Laboratory methods for determining pneumonia etiology in children. Archives of clinical infectious diseases. 2012 Apr; (54) 2:146-52. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir1073.
- [33] Cillóniz C, Garcia-Vidal C, Ceccato A, Torres A. Antimicrobial resistance among Streptococcus pneumoniae. In Antimicrobial Resistance in the 21st Century 2018;(13):38
- [34] Mayanskiy N, Alyabieva N, Ponomarenko O, Lazareva A, Katosova L, et al. Serotypes and antibiotic resistance of non-invasive Streptococcus pneumoniae circulating in pediatric hospitals in Moscow, Russia. International Journal of Infectious Diseases (IJID). 2014 Mar; (20):58-62. doi: 10.1016/j. ijid.2013.11.005.
- [35] Zilberberg MD, Nathanson BH, Sulham K, Fan W, Shorr AF. A Novel Algorithm to Analyze Epidemiology and Outcomes of Carbapenem Resistance Among Patients with Hospital-Acquired and Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia: A Retrospective Cohort Study. Chest. 2019 Jun; 155(6):1119-1130. doi: 10.1016/j. chest.2018.12.024.
- [36] Höffken G and Niederman MS. Nosocomial pneumonia: the importance of a de-escalating strategy for antibiotic treatment of pneumonia in the ICU. Chest. 2002 Dec; 122(6):2183-96. doi: 10.1378/chest.122.6.2183.