One of the important markers for the risk stratification of patients with coronary artery disease

is peripheral artery disease (PAD). **Objective:** To define the severity and frequency of peripheral artery disease using ABI among patients undergoing coronary angioplasty. **Methods**: The

cross-sectional study was conducted at department of adult cardiology Tabba Heart Institute

Karachi, Pakistan. This research was conducted for the duration of 6 months from 10th Dec 2019

to 10th June 2020. 120 patients met the inclusion criteria. They were admitted to the

department of adult Cardiology. In all cases, patient's detailed history was taken after taking

informed and written consent. The ankle brachial index (ABI) was calculated as per the

operational definition to reach the outcome PAD and its severity. **Results:** A total of 120 patients

undergoing coronary angioplasty were included. 89 (74.2%) were males & 31 (25.8%) were

females with the mean age of 58.89+10.190 years. The PAD was seen in 9 patients (7.5%) and the

severity of PAD was severe in O(0%) patients, mild in 6(5%), and moderate in 3(2.5%).

Conclusions: In conclusion, peripheral artery disease of the lower leg is not much frequent in

patients receiving percutaneous coronary intervention with coronary artery disease but it is

associated with disease severity and it & its severity increases with the increase in age and

predominant in male gender. The peripheral artery disease severity is also significantly



PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF HEALTH SCIENCES

https://thejas.com.pk/index.php/pjhs Volume 3, Issue 6 (November 2022)



Original Article

Evaluation of the Frequency and Severity of Peripheral Artery Disease using Ankle Brachial Index among Patients Undergoing Coronary Angioplasty

Zuhaib Ahmed¹, Salman Ishaque Shaikh¹, Sumair Ahmed^{1°}, Adeel Ur Rehman¹, Lubna Baqai¹, Muhammad Ali¹, Marium Kanwal²and Khubaib Majid¹

ABSTRACT

¹Tabba Heart Institute, Karachi, Pakistan.

²Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre, Karachi, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFO

Key Words:

Coronary angioplasty and coronary artery disease

How to Cite:

Ahmed, Z. ., Ishaque Shaikh, S. ., Ahmed , S., Ur Rehman, A. ., Baqai, L. ., Ali, M. ., Kanwal, M. ., & Majid, K. .(2022). Evaluation of the Frequency and Severity of Peripheral Artery Disease Using Ankle Brachial Index among Patients Undergoing Coronary Angioplasty: Evaluation of Peripheral Artery Disease among Patients with Coronary Angioplasty. Pakistan Journal of Health Sciences, 3(06).

https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i06.324

*Corresponding Author:

Sumair Ahmed Tabba Heart Institute, Karachi, Pakistan sumairahmad17@gmail.com

Received Date: 5th November, 2022 Acceptance Date: 14th November, 2022 Published Date: 30th November, 2022

INTRODUCTION

One of the important markers for the risk stratification of patients with coronary artery disease is peripheral artery disease (PAD) [1, 2]. The higher risk of cardiovascular events is associated with this condition. Different studies have reported the co-occurrence of peripheral arterial disease and coronary artery disease. Moreover, the increased incidence of the multivessel and obstructive coronary artery disease are also linked to the PAD[3]. This relationship doesn't depend on the other cardiovascular risk factors [4, 5]. The patients diagnosed with the symptomatic or asymptomatic PAD are more prone to the development of cardiovascular disease. The mortality cases are also observed to be grater in such patients.

Therefore, the diagnosis of the patient's having symptomatic and asymptomatic PAD is considered as the crucial prognostic factor [6, 7]. The intermittent claudication is the commonly observed symptom of PAD. The ankle brachial index is used to calculate the incidence and prevalence of the symptom. It is observed that the asymptomatic PAD is several times more common. The incidence and prevalence of PAD are highly associated with the age. It rises to greater than 10% among the patients of age range between 60 to 70 years. The studies have suggested that the PAD will be 10 times more common in the future. It is more prevalent in man than woman for more severe or symptomatic disease [8]. The ratio of the

associated with body mass index $\& \, {\rm obesity}.$

o that in the arm is depict that there is nosis of the PAD the RESULTS

> A total of 120 patients undergoing coronary angioplasty were selected to conduct this study. The mean age was 58.89+10.190 years. The mean height was 163.98+11.630 cm. The mean weight was 73.63+12.349 kg as shown in table 1.

Statistics	Age (Years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	BMI (kg/m2)
Minimum	36	97	49	18.30
Maximum	80	188	115	34
Mean	58.89	163.98	73.63	26.3233
Std. Deviation	10.190	11.630	12.349	2.3630

Table 1: Statistical description of age, height, weight and BMI In our study 89 patients (74.2%) were males & 31 patients (25.8%) were females. The indication of PCI was seen ACS in 94 patients (78.3%) while non-ACS in 26 patients (21.7%). In our study peripheral arterial disease was seen 9 patients (7.5%). The peripheral arterial disease severity was mild in 6 patients (5%), moderate in 3 patients (2.5%) and severe in 0 patients (0%) as shown in table 2.

Severity of peripheral arterial disease	Frequency (%)
Mild	6(5%)
Moderate	3(2.5%)
Severe	0(0%)
Total	9(7.5%)

Table 2: Frequency distribution of severity of PAD

In our study the severity of peripheral arterial disease was associated with BMI but was not statistically linked with the age, gender, indication of PCI, hypertension, family history, smoking & dyslipidemia with P-value of 0.001, .•275, .•571, 0.560, 0.343, 0.635, 0.257, 0.275, 1.000, & 0.571 as shown in table 3.

Age	Peripheral Arterial Disease			Total	P-value				
(years)	Ye	S		No	Total	r-value			
18-50	2(1.7	7%) 25(2		20.8%)	27(22.5%)				
51-80	7(5.8	3%) 8		(71.7%)	93(77.5%)	.0983			
Total	9(7.5	5%) 111(92.5%)	120(100%)				
Age	Severity o	of peripheral arterial disease		Tabal					
(years)	Mild	Mode	rate Severe		Total	P-value			
18-50	2(1.7%)	0(0%)		0(0%)	2(1.7%)				
51-80	4(3.4%)	3(2.4%)		0(0%)	7(5.8%)	0.257			
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4%)		0(0%)	9(7.5%)				
Gender	Perip	Peripheral Arterial Disease		Disease	Total	P-value			
Gender	Ye	s		No	Total	P-value			
Male	7(5.8	3%)	82(68.3%)		89(74.2%)				
Female	2(1.7	'%)	29(24.2%)		31(25.8%)	.0797			
Total	9(7.5	5%) 11		92.5%)	120(100%)				
Gender	Severity o	verity of peripheral arterial disease		of peripheral arterial dis		verity of peripheral arterial disease		Total	P-value
Gender	Mild	Mode	rate	Severe	r-value				
Male	1(0.85%)	1(0.85%)		0(%)	2(1.7%)				
Female	5(4.1%)	2(1.7%)		0(%)	7(5.8%)	0.571			
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4%)		0(0%)	9(7.5%)				

systolic blood pressure at the ankle to that in the arm is called as ABI. The lower values of ABI depict that there is atherosclerosis in the leg. For the diagnosis of the PAD the ABI is highly used. ABI had clinical and epidemiological application. It helps to diagnose both symptomatic and symptomatic PAD [9, 10]. The poor prognosis of peripheral arterial disease is observed among the patients undergoing PCI. A study reported prevalence of PAD, as \leq 0.90 as 12.8% among the patients undergoing PCI for CAD. This value was calculated by the ankle-brachial pressure index [11]. There is paucity of local data on frequency of patients with PAD among the patients with CAD undergoing coronary angioplasty [12, 13]. And due to diversified socioeconomic background and varying degrees of risk profile of our population we expect to see variation in our data compared to the data from other parts of the world.

METHODS

According to the Inter-Society Consensus for the Management of Peripheral Arterial Disease, guidelines for the ABI was defined:

Ankle brachial indwx (ABI)	(Highest systolic blood pressure of the 2 ankle)		
ATINE DI ACTILAL TILUWX (ADI)	(Highest systolic blood press the both arms)	ure of	

PAD was labelled as "Yes" for the patients with ABI ≤ 0.9 otherwise will be labelled as "No". The severity of PAD was defined as; Sample size was calculated using WHO sample size calculator 12.8% frequency of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) among the patients undergoing coronary angioplasty, with 95% confidence level, margin of error (d) of 6% [14, 15]. The sample size was calculated as 120. The non-probability and consecutive sampling technique was used. According to the inclusion criteria following patients were selected for the study; Patients with the age range between 18 to 80 years, Patients were added irrespective of gender male and female both were added and Patients that visited the hospital for undergoing Coronary Angioplasty. The patient with history of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and cardiac related disease were excluded. CPSP approved the study. The Tabba Heart Institute ethical committee approved the study. The principal investigator conducted the verbal informed consent from all patients. Before undergoing Coronary Angioplasty, patients were asked to be seated and rest for 10 min before taking blood pressure reading. Systolic blood pressures of the 2 ankle arteries of that limb (either the dorsalis pedis or the tibial artery) and 2 upper limbs was obtained. The ankle brachial index (ABI) was calculated. Peripheral arterial disease (PAD) and its severity was recorded for the patients as per the operational definitions. All data were recorded on a predesigned proforma (provided in annexure A). The SPSS tool was used for the analysis of the collected data. Effect modifiers like gender, age group, family history, smoking,

BMI (kg/m2)	Peripheral Arterial Disease Total					P-value
		Yes No				i value
18.1-26		0(0%) 61(50.8%)			61(50.8%)	
26.1-34	9(7.5			41.7%)	59(49.2%)	.0002
Total	9(7.5				120(100%)	
BMI (kg/m2)	Severity o Mild	f peripheral arte Moderate		rial disease Severe	Total	P-value
18.1-26	0(%)	0(%	6)	0(0%)	0(0%)	
26.1-34	6(5.1%)	3(2.4	+%)	0(0%)	9(7.5%)	0.001
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4	i%)	0(0%)	9(7.5%)	
Indication	Perip	heral Ar	terial [Disease		
of PCI	Ye	s		No	Total	P-value
ACS	7(5.8	3%)	87(72.5%)	94(78.3%)	
Non-ACS	2(1.7	/%)	24	(20%)	26(21.7%)	.0966
Total	9(7.5	5%)	111(92.5%)	120(100%)	
Indication	Severity o	f periphe	ral arte	rial disease		
of PCI	Mild	Mode	rate	Severe	Total	P-value
ACS	1(0.85%)	1(0.8	5%)	0(0%)	2(1.7%)	
Non-ACS	5(4.1%)	2(1.7	'%)	0(0%)	7(5.8%)	0.560
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4	;%)	0(0%)	9(7.5%)	
Diabetes	Perip	heral Ar	terial [Disease	Total	Duralius
mellitus	Ye	s		No	Total	P-value
Yes	4(3.3	3%)	56(46.7%)	60(50%)	
No	5(4.2	2%)	55(45.8%)	60(50%)	.0729
Total	9(7.5	5%)	111(92.5%)	120(100%)	
Diabetes	Severity o	f periphe	ral arte	rial disease	Total	D velve
mellitus	Mild	Mode	rate	Severe	Total	P-value
Yes	2(1.7%)	2(1.7	'%)	0(0%)	4(3.3%)	
No	4(3.4%)	1(0.8	5%)	0(0%)	5(4.2%)	0.343
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4	ı%)	0(0%)	9(7.5%)	
Hypertension	Perip	heral Ar	terial [Disease	Total	P-value
hypertension	Ye			No		r-value
Yes	5(4.2		68(56.7%)	73(60.8%)	
No	4(3.3	3%)		35.8%)	47(39.2%)	.0736
Total	9(7.5			92.5%)	120(100%)	
Hypertension				rial disease	Total	P-value
	Mild	Mode		Severe		- Fondro
Yes	3(2.5%)	1(0.8		0(0%)	4(3.3%)	
No	3(2.4%)	2(1.8		0(0%)	5(4.2%)	0.635
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4		0(0%)	9(7.5%)	
Family history		heral Ar	terial [Total	P-value
	Ye		0.01	No		
Yes	2(1.7			23.3%)	30(25%)	00/1
No				69.2%)	90(75%)	.0841
Total	9(7.5%) 111(92.5%) Severity of peripheral arterial disease				120(100%)	
Family history					Total	P-value
Yes	Mild 2(1.7%)	Moderate		Severe	2(1.7%)	
No	4(3.3%)	0(%)		0(0%)	2(1.7%) 7(5.8%)	דר ח
		3(2.5%)				0.275
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4%) 0(0%)			9(7.5%)	
Smoking		ipheral Arterial Disease			Total	P-value
Yes		Yes No 2(1.7%) 32(26.7%)			34(28.4%)	
No	7(5.8			65.8%)	86(71.7%)	.0672
						.0072
Total	9(7.5	5%) 11		92.5%)	120(100%)	

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54393/pjhs.v3i06.324

Orrestrian	Severity o	f periphe	Total	P-value		
Smoking	Mild	Moderate		Severe	Total	P-value
Yes	4(3.3%)	3(2.4%)		0(%)	7(5.7%)	
No	2(1.8%)	0(%)		0(%)	2(1.8%)	0.257
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4%)		0(0%)	9(7.5%)	
Dyslipidemia	Perip	Peripheral Arterial Disease		Total	P-value	
Dyslipidemia	Ye	S		No	Total	r-value
Yes	3(2.5	5%)	33(27.5%)	36(30%)	
No	6(5	%)	78	(65%)	84(70%)	.0821
Total	9(7.5	5%)	111(92.5%)	120(100%)	
Dyslipidemia	Severity o	Severity of peripheral arterial disease		Total	P-value	
Dyshpidenna	Mild	Moderate		Severe	Total	I value
Yes	2(1.7%)	1(0.8%)		0(%)	3(2.5%)	
No	4(3.3%)	2(1.7%)		0(%)	6(5%)	1.000
Total	6(5.1%)	3(2.4	;%)	0(0%)	9(7.5%)	
Obesity	Perip	Peripheral Arterial Disease			Total	P-value
obesity	Ye	S		No	TUtal	r-value
Yes	7(5.8	3%) 22		18.3%)	29(24.2%)	
No	2(1.7	/%) 89(74.2%)	91(76.8%)	.0001
Total	9(7.5	5%) 111(92.5%)	120(100%)	
Obesity	Severity of peripheral arterial disease			Total	P-value	
Obesity	Mild	Mode	rate	Severe	loval	I value
Yes	5(4.3%)	1(0.7%)		0(%)	6(5%)	
No	1(0.75%)	2(1.75%).		0(%)	3(2.5%)	0.571
Total	6(5%)	3(2.4%)		0(0%)	9(7.5%)	

Table 3: Peripheral Arterial Disease and its severity

DISCUSSION

According to the previous studies the prevalence of peripheral artery diseases ranges from 5% to 40% in the patients undergoing PCI for CAD [16]. The highly diverse demographic population background of patients and the CAD manifestation ultimately leads to the highly wide range of PAD. The prevalence of unknown CAD significantly increased with the diabetes mellitus. There is no association of myocardial infarction with the higher rate of PAD. According to a study, the factors which are considered as independent predictors of PAD are the current or former smoking and older age [17, 18]. In the IPSILON cohort the PAD prevalence was reported to be 27.8%. The prevalence of peripheral artery disease ranges from 10.4% in patients with a high-risk cardiovascular profile to approximately 38% in patients with PAD symptoms. The PAD has a poor prognosis in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention or stable coronary artery disease. According to a study conducted by group of scientists it was reported that the prevalence of PAD is 12.8% among patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for CAD on the basis of anklebrachial pressure index of 0.90 [19]. Another study found that the peripheral artery disease is observed in the 26.6% of patients diagnosed with CAD had (ABI 0.90), while 16.2% were asymptomatic [20]. In many patients approximately 0.8% of cases with an ABI less than 0.5 has the moderate level of peripheral artery disease severity in only. The high

prevalence (27%) of unknown PAD is reported in another study. This is consistent with earlier estimates that used ABI measurement (30-40%). It is higher than estimates. It is solely based on the clinical findings (10%). The higher short- and long-term mortality is reported in the patients with coronary artery disease and peripheral arterial disease who undergo percutaneous coronary intervention. The procedural success is also lower in such cases. The subset of patients especially those who are vulnerable to these diseases can be identify by the widespread use of ABI measurement. Indeed, one-year outcomes are worse in CAD patients with PAD than in those without PAD. According to previous studies the peripheral arterial disease in coronary artery disease patients is associated with increased disease severity [21]. The increased rate of mortality after PCI are observed in the previous studies. The findings recommend that there is need to improve the process of risk factor detection and management procedure of PAD patients. Our study has a small sample size. There is need to conduct large sample size study.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, peripheral artery disease of the lower leg is not much frequent in patients receiving percutaneous coronary intervention with coronary artery disease but it is associated with disease severity and it & its severity increases with the increase in age and predominant in male gender. The severity of peripheral artery disease is also significantly associated with body mass index & obesity.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Source of Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article

REFERENCES

- [1] Aquino SH, Melo IT, Souza CD, Costa FD. Anklebrachial Index and associated factors in individuals with coronary artery disease. Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira. 2020 Jun; 66:407-13. doi: 10.1590/ 1806-9282.66.4.407
- [2] Miname M, Bensenor IM, Lotufo PA. Different methods of calculating ankle-brachial index in midelderly men and women: the Brazilian Longitudinal Study of Adult Health (ELSA-Brasil). Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research. 2016 Nov; 49(12):e5734. doi: 10.1590/1414-431X20165734
- [3] Jafar AH, Akanda MA, Khalequzzaman M, Chowdhury S, Hossain MA, Roy SS, et al. Association of anklebrachial index with the angiographic severity of patient with coronary artery disease. Cardiovascular

Journal. 2018 Apr; 10(2):201-5. doi: 10.3329/cardio. v10i2.36293

- [4] Carbayo JA, Divisón JA, Escribano J, López-Abril J, de Coca EL, Artigao LM, et al. Using ankle-brachial index to detect peripheral arterial disease: prevalence and associated risk factors in a random population sample. Nutrition, metabolism and cardiovascular diseases. 2007 Jan; 17(1):41-9. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2005.08.009
- [5] Araújo AL, Fidelis C, Santos VP, Araújo Filho JS, Andrade J, Rêgo MA. Frequency and factors associated with high Ankle-Brachial Index in diabetic patients. Jornal Vascular Brasileiro. 2016 Oct; 15:176-81. doi: 10.1590/1677-5449.009316
- [6] Balta S, Demirkol S, Demir M, Ozturk C, Aparcı M, Celik
 T. Ankle-brachial index in coronary artery disease.
 Clinics. 2014 Sep; 69(9):653. doi: 10.6061/clinics/ 2014(09)13
- [7] Hatmi ZN, Dabiran S, Kashani AS, Heidarzadeh Z, Darvishi Z, Raznahan M. Ankle-Brachial Index as a Prognostic Factor and Screening Tool in Coronary Artery Disease: Does it Work? The Journal of Tehran University Heart Center. 2014 Jul; 9(4):174-178.
- [8] Lahoz C, Mostaza JM. Ankle-brachial index: a useful tool for stratifying cardiovascular risk. Revista Espanola de Cardiología. 2006 Jul; 59(7):647-9. doi: 10.1157/13091364
- [9] Papa ED, Helber I, Ehrlichmann MR, Alves CM, Makdisse M, Matos LN, et al. Ankle-brachial index as a predictor of coronary disease events in elderly patients submitted to coronary angiography. Clinics. 2013 Dec; 68:1481-7. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2013(12)02
- [10] Manzano L, García-Díaz JD, Gómez-Cerezo J, Mateos J, del Valle FJ, Medina-Asensio J, et al. Clinical value of the ankle-brachial index in patients at risk of cardiovascular disease but without known atherothrombotic disease: VITAMIN study. Revista Española de Cardiología. 2006 Jan; 59(7):662-70. doi: 10.1016/S1885-5857(07)60025-5
- [11] Papa E. Ankle brachial index in coronary artery disease-Author's reply. Clinics. 2014; 69 (9):654. doi: 10.6061/clinics/2014(09)14
- [12] Manzano L, Mostaza JM, Suarez C, Cairols M, Redondo R, Valdivielso P, et al. Value of the ankle-brachial index in cardiovascular risk stratification of patients without known atherotrombotic disease, MERITO study. Medicina Clinica. 2007 Feb; 128(7):241-6. doi: 10.1157/13099239
- [13] Nematipour E. Evaluation of ankle brachial index as a predictive factor for diagnosis of coronary artery disease. 2006; 64(1): 45-48. Kojima I, Ninomiya T, Hata J, Fukuhara M, Hirakawa Y,

 [14] Mukai N, et al. A low ankle brachial index is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease: the Hisayama study. Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis. 2014 Apr; 21(9):966-73. doi: 10.5551/ jat. 22608

Petracco AM, Bodanese LC, Porciúncula GF, Teixeira

[15] GS, Pellegrini DD, Danzmann LC, et al. Assessment of the relationship of ankle-brachial index with coronary artery disease severity. International Journal of Cardiovascular Sciences. 2018 Jan; 31:47-55. doi: 10.5935/2359-4802.20170094

Aboyans V, Lacroix P, Ferrieres J, Laskar M. Ankle-

- [16] brachial index: a marker of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular prognosis. Archives des Maladies du Coeur et des Vaisseaux. 2004 Feb; 97(2):139-46. Sadeghi M, Heidari R, Mostanfar B, Tavassoli A,
- [17] Roghani F, Yazdekhasti S. The relation between ankle-brachial index (ABI) and coronary artery disease severity and risk factors: an angiographic study. ARYA atherosclerosis. 2011; 7(2):68-73.

Vicente I, Lahoz C, Taboada M, Laguna F, García-

[18] Iglesias F, Mostaza Prieto JM. Ankle-brachial index in patients with diabetes mellitus: prevalence and risk factors. Revista Clinica Espanola. 2006 May; 206(5):225-9. doi: 10.1157/13088561 Sharma AK, Kairiwa MB, Sieha SK, Dazi MM, Dandavilla

Sharma AK, Kejriwal MP, Sinha SK, Razi MM, Pandey U,

[19] Shukla P, Thakur R, Verma CM, Krishna V. A comparative assessment of the severity of coronary artery disease in patients with low ankle-Brachial index and normal ankle-Brachial index: An angiography-based cross-sectional observationalanalytical study (CADLABI study). Journal of the Practice of Cardiovascular Sciences. 2021 Jan; 7(1):54. doi: 10.4103/jpcs.jpcs_91_20

Birrer M. Mesure de l'Ankle Brachial Index: un [20] instrument diagnostique fiable d'estimation du

- [20] Instrument diagnostique nable destination du risque cardiovasculaire. Forum Médical Suisse. 2007 Mar; 7(10):254-258. doi: 10.4414/fms.2007.06136 Habib SA, Islam MN, Pasha K, Alam SA, Mohsin K,
- [21] Islam KK, et al. Ankle-Brachial Index predicts coronary artery disease associated with Peripheral Arterial Disease. University Heart Journal. 2010 Dec; 6(1):23-5. doi: 10.3329/uhj.v6i1.7186